Discrete Mathematics and Probability Theory Satish Rao and Babak Ayazifar

Spring 2023

DIS 1B

1 Stable Matching

Note 4

Consider the set of jobs $J = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and the set of candidates $C = \{A, B, C\}$ with the following preferences.

Jobs	Candidates				
1	A	>	В	>	С
2	В	>	A	>	С
3	A	>	В	>	С

Candidates	Jobs				
A	2	>	1	>	3
В	1	>	3	>	2
С	1	>	2	>	3

Run the traditional propose-and-reject algorithm on this example. How many days does it take and what is the resulting pairing? (Show your work.)

2 Propose-and-Reject Proofs

Note 4

Prove the following statements about the traditional propose-and-reject algorithm.

(a) In any execution of the algorithm, if a candidate receives a proposal on day *i*, then she receives some proposal on every day thereafter until termination.

(b) In any execution of the algorithm, if a candidate receives no proposal on day i, then she receives no proposal on any previous day j, $1 \le j < i$.

(c)	(Hint: use the parts above!)
3	Be a Judge
fol	stable matching instance, we mean a set of jobs and candidates and their preference lists. For each of the lowing statements, indicate whether the statement is True or False and justify your answer with a short 3 line explanation:
(a)	There is a stable matching instance for n jobs and n candidates for $n > 1$, such that in a stable matching algorithm with jobs proposing, every job ends up with its least preferred candidate.
(b)	In a stable matching instance, if job J and candidate C each put each other at the top of their respective preference lists, then J must be paired with C in every stable pairing.
(c)	In a stable matching instance with at least two jobs and two candidates, if job J and candidate C each put each other at the bottom of their respective preference lists, then J cannot be paired with C in any stable pairing.

Note 4

(d)	For every $n > 1$, there is a stable matching instance for n jobs and n candidates which has an unstable pairing where every unmatched job-candidate pair is a rogue couple or pairing.					
4	Stable Matching III					
(a)	True or False?					
	(i) If a candidate accidentally rejects a job she prefers on a given day, then the algorithm ends with a rogue couple.					
	(ii) The Propose-and-Reject Algorithm never produces a candidate-optimal matching.					
	(iii) If the same job is last on the preference list of every candidate, the job must end up with its least preferred candidate.					

Note 4

(b) As you've seen from lecture, the jobs-proposing Propose-and-Reject Algorithm produces an employer-optimal stable matching. Let's see if the candidate have any way of improving their standing. Suppose exactly one of the candidates has the power to arbitrarily reject one proposal, regardless of which job she has on her string (if any). Construct an example that illustrates the following: for any $n \ge 2$, there exists a stable matching instance for which using this power helps **every** candidate, i.e. every candidate gets a better job than she would have gotten under the jobs-proposing Propose-and-Reject Algorithm.