CS 70 Su23: Lecture 16

Conditional probability



Conditional probability

How do we "add knowledge" to our calculations?

- Some examples. What's the probability of:
 - finding someone who is taking CS 70?
 - in Dwinelle?
 - finding your keys in your room?
 - if you know they're in your closet?
 - getting the card you want?
 - if a bunch of cards have already been drawn?

Given some information, how does that affect the probability of an event?



It's like probability, but... conditional

 Ω = our universe

A, B = events

$$Pr[A] = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|} Pr[B] = \frac{|B|}{|\Omega|}$$
$$Pr[A \cap B] = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|\Omega|}$$



What is the probability of A if we know B is going to happen?



It's like probability, but... conditional

Notation:

• Pr[A|B]. "The probability of A given B"

 $\Pr[A \mid B] = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|B|}$

$$\Pr[A | B] = \frac{\Pr[A \cap B]}{\Pr[B]}$$





Conditional probability

[link to visualization]



Bayes's Theorem

It's just rearranging the conditional probability formula:

$$Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[B]} \qquad Pr[B|A] = \frac{Pr[A \cap B]}{Pr[A]}$$

 $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[A | B] \times Pr[B] = Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]$

Pr[A|B] = Pr[B] Pr[B] Pr[B] Pr[B] If you know Pr[B|A], Pr[A], and Pr[B], you can compute Pr[A|B] • this may not be immediately obvious! • lets us do some interesting things



Inference

- Structured as follows:
 - We have a **prior distribution** Pr[A]
 - We have a **likelihood estimation** Pr[B|A]
 - $\circ \quad \text{We } \textbf{observe} \text{ some event in B}$
 - Use Bayes's Theorem to update our **posterior distribution** Pr[A|B]

Bayes theorem and Bayes rule are the same thing (also note sometimes the apostrophe gets omitted for no real good reason)

Pr[B|A] x Pr[A]

Pr[B]

 $\Pr[A|B] = -$



We want to detect counterfeit bills.

- We have a test to see if a bill is fake (result is "fake" or "not fake"):
 - When used on a fake bill, **90%** of the time, it says "fake"
 - 10% of the time, it says "not fake"
 - When used on a real bill, **80%** of the time, it says "not fake"
 - 20% of the time, it says "fake"
- We know 5% of the bills out there are fake

If we test a random bill and our test says "fake", what is the probability the bill is fake?



Let's define some events:

- A = a bill is fake
 - \circ Ā = a bill is real (this is the complement of A)
- B = the test results in "fake"

We are trying to find Pr[A|B].

What we know:

- Pr[A] = 0.05
- Pr[B|A] = 0.9
- Pr[B|Ā] = 0.2



Time for Bayes's rule!

 $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[B|A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B]}$

... but what is Pr[B]?



Observation:

- $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$ • \overline{A} is the same as $\Omega \setminus A$
- Can we take advantage of this?

More Bayes rule:

 $Pr[A \cap B] = Pr[B|A] \times Pr[A]$

 $Pr[\bar{A}\cap B] = Pr[B|\bar{A}] \times Pr[\bar{A}]$





Time for Bayes's rule!

 $Pr[A | B] = \frac{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B]}$ $= \frac{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A] + Pr[B | \overline{A}] \times Pr[\overline{A}]} = \frac{0.9 \times 0.05}{0.9 \times 0.05 + 0.2 \times 0.95}$ ≈ 0.19

If a random bill tests as fake, there's less than a 1 in 5 chance it's actually fake!



Your friend has two indistinguishable coins. One comes up heads 80% of the time, while the other comes up heads 30% of the time.

You pick a coin at random and flip it, and it comes up heads. Which coin did you (probably) pick?



Let's define some events:

- A = you picked the 80% coin
 - \bar{A} = you picked the 30% coin (this is the complement of A)
- B = the coin you flipped came up heads

We are trying to find Pr[A|B].

What we know:

- Pr[A] = 0.5
- Pr[B|A] = 0.8
- Pr[B|Ā] = 0.3



Time for Bayes's rule!

 $Pr[A|B] = \frac{Pr[B|A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B]}$

... but what is Pr[B]?



Time for Bayes's rule!

 $Pr[A | B] = \frac{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B]}$ $= \frac{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]}{Pr[B | A] \times Pr[A]} = \frac{0.8 \times 0.5}{0.8 \times 0.5 + 0.3 \times 0.5}$ $= \frac{8}{11}$ Roughly a 73% chance you picked the 80% coin, 27% chance you picked the 30% coin



Aside: meta point

Manipulating the equations is not the hard part, setting up the events is!

When you see complicated word problems, always define your events carefully, and define their probabilities.



Total Probability Rule

- $Pr[B] = Pr[A \cap B] + Pr[\overline{A} \cap B]$
 - = $Pr[B|A] \times Pr[A] + Pr[B|\overline{A}] \times Pr[\overline{A}]$

Let's generalize this!

- Let $A_1, A_2, ..., A_n$ **partition** the sample space Ω :
 - $A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n = \Omega$
 - $\forall i \neq j, A_i \cap A_i = \emptyset$ (they are mutually exclusive; the sets are disjoint)

 $Pr[B] = \sum_{i} Pr[B|A_{i}] \times Pr[A_{i}]$



Inference

- Structured as follows:
 - We have a **prior distribution** Pr[A]
 - We have a **likelihood estimation** Pr[B|A]
 - We **observe** some event in B
 - Use **Bayes's Theorem** and **Total Probability Rule** to compute our **posterior distribution** Pr[A|B]

Pr[B|A] x Pr[A]

$$Pr[A|B] = \sum_{i} Pr[B|A_{i}] \times Pr[A_{i}]$$



You are playing against one of three opponents. There is a 20% chance you'll face the first one, a 50% you'll face the second one, and a 30% chance you'll face the third one. The first opponent throws Rock 60% of the time, the second throws Rock 40% of the time, and the third throws Rock 33% of the time.

In the first round, your opponent throws Rock. What's the likelihood that you will win the next round if you throw Paper?



Defining events:

- Prior distribution
 - Likelihood of opponent
- Likelihood estimation
 - \circ \quad How likely each opponent is to throw Rock
- Observation
 - they threw Rock
- Posterior distribution
 - how likely it is we're facing each opponent



Let's define some events:

- A_i = you're against opponent i
- B = your opponent threw Rock

We are trying to find Pr[A|B].

What we know:

- Pr[A₁] = 0.2, Pr[A₂] = 0.5, Pr[A₃] = 0.3,
- $Pr[B|A_1] = 0.6$
- $\Pr[B|A_2] = 0.4$
- $\Pr[B|A_3^2] = 0.33$







What's the likelihood that you'll win the next round if you throw Paper?

• C = your opponent throws Rock on round 2

We are trying to find Pr[C|B].

New "priors":

• Pr[A₁ | B] = 6/21, Pr[A₂ | B] = 10/21, Pr[A₃ | B] = 5/21

Likelihood estimates remain the same (opponents don't switch it up):

- Pr[C|A₁, B] = 0.6
- $\Pr[C|A_2, B] = 0.4$
- $Pr[C|A_3^2, B] = 0.33$



$Pr[C|B] = \sum_{i} Pr[C|A_{i}, B] \times Pr[A_{i}|B]$

- = 0.6 x 6/21 + 0.4 x 10/21 + 0.33 x 5/21
- = 6/35 + 4/21 + 5/63
- = 139/315

There is roughly a 44% chance you'll win the second round by throwing Paper



Example 4: Nikki likes chess

You're about to play chess against a randomly-chosen instructor. If Victor is chosen, your chances of winning are 90%. If Nate is chosen, your chances of winning are 50%. If Nikki is chosen, your chances of winning are 10%.

What is your overall likelihood of winning?

- A = you win
- $B_1 =$ you face Victor, $B_2 =$ you face Nate, $B_3 =$ you face Nikki

Total probability:

 $Pr[A] = \sum_{i} Pr[A | B_{i}] \times Pr[B_{i}] = \frac{1}{3} (0.9 + 0.5 + 0.1) = 0.5$



Example 5: Biased coins again

You have two coins, one that flips heads 80% of the time, and one that flips heads 30% of the time. You randomly pick one of the coins and flip it, then you flip the other coin.

What is the probability that the first flip is heads?

Let A_i = the ith flip is heads, B_i = you picked the ith coin

```
Pr[A_1] = Pr[A_1|B_1] \times Pr[B_1] + Pr[A_1|B_2] \times Pr[B_2]
```

= 0.8 x 0.5 + 0.3 x 0.5

```
= 0.55
```



Example 5: Biased coins again

What is the probability that the second flip is heads?





Example 5: Biased coins again

 $Pr[A_1] = Pr[A_2].$ Why?

Knowing whether the first flip is heads affects our guess about the second flip. That is, $Pr[A_2|A_1] \neq Pr[A_2]$.

But if we're looking only at Pr[A₂], it's **unconditional**. In other words, here, we *don't* have knowledge of the previous flip.

In fact, it ends up being a *symmetric* argument for why the unconditional probabilities are equal.

Think about the question rephrased: "You flip both coins at the same time. What's the probability that the second coin is heads?"

If you don't get to observe the result of the first flip, the order in which you flip them doesn't really matter!



Next class: more conditional probability

There's a special name for when $Pr[A_2 | A_1] \neq Pr[A_2]$. We'll talk about this in more depth (independence).

Nikki will be back!

