

1. Honor Code (0 pts)

Please copy the following statement in the space provided below and sign your name.

As a member of the UC Berkeley community, I act with honesty, integrity, and respect for others. I will follow the rules and do this exam on my own.

**IF YOU DO NOT COPY THE HONOR CODE AND SIGN YOUR NAME,
YOU WILL GET A 0 ON THE EXAM.**

Solution:

2. SID (3 pts)

WHEN THE EXAM STARTS, WRITE YOUR SID AT THE TOP OF EVERY PAGE.

No extra time will be given for this task.

3. Favorites. Any answer, as long as you write it down, will be given full credit. (2 pts)

(a) (1 pts) **What's your favorite restaurant in Berkeley?**

Solution: Any answer is fine.

(b) (1 pts) **What's some music that makes you happy?**

Solution: Any answer is fine.

4. Fun with Rank (12 pts)

Consider two matrices $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$. Let $\mathcal{R}(A)$ denote the range (i.e. column) space of the matrix A .

- (a) (4 pts) Prove that $\mathcal{R}(AB) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

HINT: $\mathcal{R}(A) = \{\vec{y} : \vec{y} = A\vec{x} \text{ for some } \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Solution: To solve this problem, it is sufficient to show that if $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{R}(AB)$ then $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Consider arbitrary $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{R}(AB)$ then there exists a $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $\vec{y} = AB\vec{z}$. Define $\vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to be $\vec{w} = B\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consequently, it holds that $\vec{y} = A\vec{w}$. Therefore, we can conclude that $\vec{y} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Alternate Solution: An alternate solution is to note that we can represent the product matrix AB as

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} A\vec{b}_1 & A\vec{b}_2 & \dots & A\vec{b}_p \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the columns of matrix B .

Recall that the range space of any matrix is the span of its columns. Therefore, $\mathcal{R}(AB) = \text{span}\{A\vec{b}_1, A\vec{b}_2, \dots, A\vec{b}_p\}$. Since $A\vec{b}_i \in \mathcal{R}(A)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$, it holds that $\text{span}\{A\vec{b}_1, A\vec{b}_2, \dots, A\vec{b}_p\} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$.

(b) (4 pts) Prove that the following inequality holds:

$$0 \leq \text{rank}(AB) \leq \min\{\text{rank}(A), \text{rank}(B)\}.$$

HINT: Recall that the rank of a matrix is the dimension of its range space.

HINT: You may use the result of part (a), and the fact that the rank of any matrix is the same as the rank of its transpose.

Solution: Recall that rank of any matrix is the dimension of the column space of that matrix. Therefore the rank has to be always non-negative. This proves the lower bound in the problem.

To show the upper bound, it is enough to show that

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(A); \tag{P1}$$

$$\text{rank}(AB) \leq \text{rank}(B). \tag{P2}$$

First, we show (P1). This is a consequence of part (a) where we showed that $\mathcal{R}(AB) \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(AB) &= \dim(\mathcal{R}(AB)) \\ &\leq \dim(\mathcal{R}(A)) = \text{rank}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show (P2). Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(AB) &\stackrel{\star}{=} \text{rank}((AB)^\top) \\ &= \text{rank}(B^\top A^\top) \\ &\stackrel{\star\star}{\leq} \text{rank}(B^\top) \\ &= \text{rank}(B), \end{aligned}$$

where \star is due to the fact that the rank of any matrix is same as the rank of its transpose and $\star\star$ is due to (P1) by replacing A with B^\top and B with A^\top .

- (c) (4 pts) Give an example of matrices A, B such that $\text{rank}(A) \neq 0, \text{rank}(B) \neq 0$ but $\text{rank}(AB) = 0$, by finding suitable values of $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ in the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solution: In general, we can show that any solution with $x + y = 0, x \neq 0, z = 0$ will work.

To do this, we multiply AB and get:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x+y & yz \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this to have rank zero, we need $x + y = 0$ and $yz = 0$. Also, for A to have nonzero rank, we need at least one of x and y to be nonzero.

For a specific example, consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Note that $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B) = 1$. But

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, $\text{rank}(AB) = 0$. This corresponds to $x = 1, y = -1, z = 0$.

5. Compact SVD (4 pts)

Consider two sets of orthonormal vectors $\{\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\vec{p}_1 \perp \vec{p}_2$, and $\{\vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\vec{q}_1 \perp \vec{q}_2$. Let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be defined as

$$C = \vec{p}_1 \vec{q}_1^\top + \frac{1}{2} \vec{p}_2 \vec{q}_2^\top.$$

Write the compact SVD representation of matrix C in terms of $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2$. That is, compute the SVD matrices $U_r \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r}$, $\Sigma_r \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}$, $V_r \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$, such that $C = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top$.

Solution: The compact SVD representation of matrix C in terms of $\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{q}_1, \vec{q}_2$ with all three matrices is given by:

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{p}_1 & \vec{p}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{q}_1^\top \\ \vec{q}_2^\top \end{bmatrix}.$$

6. Exploring SVD, Least Squares, and Min-Norm (13 pts)

- (a) (4 pts) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with rank $r > 0$. Consider the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for some $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$. Show that $\vec{x}_0 = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}$ is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, where the compact SVD of A is $A = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top$. Show your work.

HINT: Remember that $U_r U_r^\top$ isn't necessarily the identity, but $U_r U_r^\top \vec{d} = \vec{d}$ for any $\vec{d} \in \mathcal{R}(U_r)$.

Solution: One way is to note that $A\vec{x}_0 = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} = U_r \Sigma_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} = U_r U_r^\top \vec{b} = \vec{b}$, where we used the fact that V_r has orthonormal columns (so $V_r^\top V_r = I$). The last step involves noting that the columns of U_r span $\mathcal{R}(A)$ and $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$, so $\vec{b} = U_r \vec{z}$ for some \vec{z} . Then, $U_r U_r^\top \vec{b} = U_r U_r^\top U_r \vec{z} = U_r \vec{z} = \vec{b}$.

Another way is to see that $Ax = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top x = b$. Left multiplying on both sides by U_r^\top and using the fact that $U_r^\top U_r = I$, we have $\Sigma_r V_r^\top x = U_r^\top b$. Once again left multiplying on both sides by Σ_r^{-1} , we have $V_r^\top x = \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top b$. Now, we substitute $x = x_0 = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top b$ to see that $V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top b = \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top b$, and using $V_r^\top V_r = I$ shows the left hand side and right hand side are equal.

(b) (4 pts) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with $m < n$ and rank $r > 0$, and let $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Also, let the compact and full SVD representations of A be, respectively,

$$\underbrace{A}_{m \times n} = \underbrace{U_r}_{m \times r} \underbrace{\Sigma_r}_{r \times r} \underbrace{V_r^\top}_{r \times n}, \quad A = [U_r \quad U_{m-r}] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{m \times n} \begin{bmatrix} V_r^\top \\ V_{n-r}^\top \end{bmatrix},$$

where $U_{m-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times (m-r)}$, $V_{n-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times (n-r)}$. Show that $V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + V_{n-r} \vec{z}$ is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, for any $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}$.

Solution: We plug in the given solution into $A\vec{x}$, yielding $A(V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + V_{n-r} \vec{z}) = AV_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + AV_{n-r} \vec{z} = U_r \Sigma_r V_r^\top V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + U_r \Sigma_r (V_r^\top V_{n-r}) \vec{z}$. Then, we get $U_r \Sigma_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} = U_r U_r^\top \vec{b} = \vec{b}$, where we used the fact that V_r has orthonormal columns (so $V_r^\top V_r = I$, $V_r^\top V_{n-r} = 0$).

(c) (5 pts) Again, let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with $m < n$ and rank r , and let $\vec{b} \in \mathcal{R}(A)$.

Also, let the compact and full SVD representations of A be, respectively,

$$\underbrace{A}_{m \times n} = \underbrace{U_r}_{m \times r} \underbrace{\Sigma_r}_{r \times r} \underbrace{V_r^\top}_{r \times n}, \quad A = [U_r \quad U_{m-r}] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{m \times n} \begin{bmatrix} V_r^\top \\ V_{n-r}^\top \end{bmatrix},$$

where $U_{m-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times (m-r)}$, $V_{n-r} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times (n-r)}$.

Let \vec{x}^* be the solution to the following problem:

$$\vec{x}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|\vec{x}\|_2^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad A\vec{x} = \vec{b}.$$

Find \vec{x}^* and justify your answer.

HINT: You may use the fact that $\{V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + V_{n-r} \vec{z} : \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}\}$ is the set of all solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, without proof.

Solution: Using the fact in the hint, we reduce our problem to

$$\min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}} \|V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b} + V_{n-r} \vec{z}\|_2^2.$$

We can expand the inner product to get:

$$\min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}} \left(\|V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}\|_2^2 + \|V_{n-r} \vec{z}\|_2^2 + 2(V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b})^\top (V_{n-r} \vec{z}) \right).$$

Now, note that V is an orthogonal matrix (or that $\mathcal{R}(V_r) = \mathcal{R}(A^\top)$, $\mathcal{R}(V_{n-r}) = N(A)$, so $\mathcal{R}(V_r) \perp \mathcal{R}(V_{n-r})$). Thus, the last term (an inner product between vectors in both of those subspaces) is zero, and we are left with $\min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}} (\|V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}\|_2^2 + \|V_{n-r} \vec{z}\|_2^2)$.

The first term here is independent of \vec{z} , so this becomes $\|V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}\|_2^2 + \min_{\vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-r}} \|V_{n-r} \vec{z}\|_2^2$. The second term is lower bounded by 0, which is achieved when $\vec{z} = 0$ (and this is the sole minimizer since V_{n-r} is full column rank). So, the answer is $p^* = \|V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}\|_2^2$ and $\vec{z}^* = 0$, which corresponds to $\vec{x}^* = V_r \Sigma_r^{-1} U_r^\top \vec{b}$.

7. Convexity (10 pts)

- (a) (6 pts) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as $f(\vec{x}) = \|A\vec{x}\|_2^2$, where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is a matrix. Is f a convex function? Prove or disprove.

Solution:

One solution is to derive the Hessian $2A^T A$ and note that it is symmetric positive semidefinite.

- (b) (4 pts) Let $g, h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be fixed twice-differentiable convex functions, and fix real numbers $a, b > 0$. Define $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(\vec{x}) = a \cdot g(\vec{x}) + b \cdot h(\vec{x})$ for each $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Prove f is a convex function.

Solution: Fix $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ arbitrarily. Then, appealing to the definition of convexity:

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) \\ &= a \cdot g(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) + b \cdot h(\alpha\vec{x} + (1-\alpha)\vec{y}) \\ &= a\alpha \cdot g(\vec{x}) + a(1-\alpha) \cdot g(\vec{y}) + b\alpha \cdot h(\vec{x}) + b(1-\alpha) \cdot h(\vec{y}) \\ &\leq \alpha \cdot [a \cdot g(\vec{x}) + b \cdot h(\vec{x})] + (1-\alpha) \cdot [a \cdot g(\vec{y}) + b \cdot h(\vec{y})] \\ &= \alpha \cdot f(\vec{x}) + (1-\alpha) \cdot f(\vec{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, f is a convex function.

8. Vector Calculus (12 pts)

- (a) (6 pts) Compute the gradient and Hessian with respect to \vec{x} of the function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(\vec{x}) = 1 - (\vec{a}^\top \vec{x})^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Solution: By the chain rule,

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = -2(\vec{a}^\top \vec{x})\vec{a}.$$

Furthermore, the Hessian is seen to be

$$\nabla^2 f(\vec{x}) = -2\vec{a}\vec{a}^\top.$$

(b) (6 pts) Consider $f(\vec{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(b_i - \vec{a}_i^\top \vec{x})$, where $\vec{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m > 0$.

The domain of f is the set $\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid b_i - \vec{a}_i^\top \vec{x} > 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m\}$, which is assumed to be nonempty.

Compute the gradient of $f(\vec{x})$ with respect to \vec{x} .

HINT: Consider what happens in the special case of $f(x) = \log(b - x)$ for a scalar variable $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, use the chain rule.

HINT: Recall that $\frac{d}{dx} \log(x) = \frac{1}{x}$.

Solution: The derivative of $\log(b - x)$ with respect to a scalar variable x is easily seen to be $-\frac{1}{b-x}$. Now, using the chain rule, the gradient of $\log(b - \vec{a}^\top \vec{x})$ with respect to \vec{x} is

$$-\frac{\nabla(\vec{a}^\top \vec{x})}{b - \vec{a}^\top \vec{x}} = -\frac{\vec{a}}{b - \vec{a}^\top \vec{x}}.$$

Hence, summing the gradients for each term, we get:

$$\nabla f(\vec{x}) = -\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\vec{a}_i}{b_i - \vec{a}_i^\top \vec{x}}.$$

9. Best Approximations (24 pts)

We start by recalling the Eckart-Young Theorem: Consider any square matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and assume that we can write its full singular value decomposition as $C = U\Sigma V^\top$ where Σ is the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix with distinct diagonal entries $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \dots > \sigma_n > 0$. Then, for $0 \leq k \leq n$, the Eckart-Young Theorem for Frobenius norm states that

$$C_k = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^\top = \underset{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}}{\text{argmin}} \|C - B\|_F$$

where $U_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is the matrix consisting of the first k columns of U , $V_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is the matrix consisting of the first k columns of V , and Σ_k is the $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with the top- k singular values $\sigma_1 > \dots > \sigma_k$ as its diagonal entries.

- (a) (8 pts) Now, consider any square matrix $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and let $C_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ denote its best rank- k approximation in the Frobenius norm. Then, for any orthonormal matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, show that

$$WC_k W^\top = \underset{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}}{\text{argmin}} \|WCW^\top - B\|_F.$$

Solution:

Using the fact that the Frobenius norm is invariant under orthogonal transformations, we have $\|B - WCW^\top\|_F = \|W^\top B W - C\|_F$. Therefore, we can write

$$\min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|B - WCW^\top\|_F = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|W^\top B W - C\|_F.$$

Next, we show that $\{W^\top B W : B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \text{rank}(B) \leq k\} = \{Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} : \text{rank}(Z) \leq k\}$. For any $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$, $Z = W^\top B W$ satisfies $\text{rank}(Z) \leq k$. On the other hand, for any $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that $\text{rank}(Z) \leq k$, there exists $B = W Z W^\top$ that satisfies $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$ and $W^\top B W = W^\top W Z W^\top W = Z$ because W is an orthonormal matrix. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|W^\top B W - C\|_F &= \min_{\substack{Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(Z) \leq k}} \|Z - C\|_F \\ &= \|C_k - C\|_F. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, noting that $\|WC_k W^\top - WCW^\top\|_F = \|W(C_k - C)W^\top\|_F = \|C_k - C\|_F$, we can write

$$\|WC_k W^\top - WCW^\top\|_F = \min_{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}} \|B - WCW^\top\|_F.$$

Since $\text{rank}(WC_k W^\top) \leq k$, we conclude that $WC_k W^\top$ is a minimizer of the given optimization problem.

For the remainder of this problem, we consider a square matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and assume A has full rank. Using Gram-Schmidt Orthonormalization (GSO), we can write the matrix A as

$$A = QR \quad (3)$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthonormal matrix and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an upper triangular matrix.

(b) (4 pts) Find the best rank- n approximation to AA^\top in the Frobenius norm. *Justify your answer.*

Solution: AA^\top is the best rank- n approximation since it already has rank n .

(c) (6 pts) Recall that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a square matrix with full rank, and we can write the matrix A as

$$A = QR \tag{4}$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthonormal matrix and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an upper triangular matrix.

Assume that $R = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix with

$$|r_1| > |r_2| > \dots > |r_n|,$$

and all r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n are real numbers. Let $k < n$. Then, show that the best rank- k approximation to AA^\top in the Frobenius norm is QSQ^\top , where S is a diagonal matrix defined as

$$S = \text{diag}(r_1^2, \dots, r_k^2, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

Solution: We can write $AA^\top = QRR^\top Q^\top$. Note that Q is an orthonormal matrix and $RR^\top = \text{diag}(r_1^2, r_2^2, \dots, r_n^2)$ is a diagonal matrix. Therefore, $AA^\top = QRR^\top Q^\top$ forms an SVD for AA^\top . Then, the result follows by the Eckart-Young Theorem.

(d) (6 pts) Recall that $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a square matrix with full rank, and we can write the matrix A as

$$A = QR \tag{5}$$

where $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an orthonormal matrix and $R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an upper triangular matrix.

Now, we no longer assume that R is diagonal. Let $k < n$ and assume that the best rank- k approximation to $RR^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ in the Frobenius norm is given by $G \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Then, using the result of part (a), show that the best rank- k approximation to AA^\top in the Frobenius norm is given by QQQ^\top .

Solution: We can write $AA^\top = QRR^\top Q^\top$. We use part (a) with $W = Q$ and $C = RR^\top$. As a result,

$$QQQ^\top = \underset{\substack{B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \\ \text{rank}(B) \leq k}}{\text{argmin}} \|B - QRR^\top Q^\top\|_F.$$

Therefore, the best rank- k approximation to AA^\top in the Frobenius norm is given by QQQ^\top .